UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,270	06/07/2006	Jerome Emile Georges Guillemont	PRD2184USPCT	4718
27777 7590 03/24/2010 PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			SEAMAN, D MARGARET M	
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jnjuspatent@corus.jnj.com lhowd@its.jnj.com gsanche@its.jnj.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/596,270	GUILLEMONT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. Margaret Seaman	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13,15 and 16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 121 as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. PCT/EP05/50271, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. The specification and claims provide for a

Art Unit: 1625

which does not provide structure of

support for moieties wherein R6 (the 3-position of the quinoline ring) is either H or the radical

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 1/20/2010 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it 2. introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "R7 being absent" is new matter

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "R7 is absent" is new matter. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, is maintained. Claim 1 recites the limitation "provided that when R7 is hydrogen then the [] radical may also be placed in position 3 of the quinoline ring" (last two lines of the claim1). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. More specifically,

Application/Control Number: 10/596,270

Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

it is not seen where the 3-position of the quinoline ring can be the [] moiety. And now with the new amendments, it is not seen where R7 can be absent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. The rejection of claims 1-13 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wommack is upheld. Please note that on page 1220 column 1 line 11 compound 19

3-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-4-diethylaminobutyl)quinoline

is . This compound is

Art Unit: 1625

where R2 is H, R6 is H, R7 is H, R1 is H and the

radical is in the 3-position of

the quinoline ring (which is the equivalent to the instant R6 position). R3 being methyl, q being 2 and R4 and R5 being alkyl (ethyl).

9. Claims 1-13 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 7652014, PG Pubs 20080255116 (11/997182), 20070129375 (10/596086), 20080249099 (10/595891) and 20070072842 (10/595882). The applied references have a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the references, they constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,270

Page 7

Art Unit: 1625

US 7652014 teaches a markush of which with a specific with a specific

compound that anticipates the instant claims such as

(see col

31). PG Pub 20080255116 has compounds/methods of treatment of

. Copending 20070129375

Art Unit: 1625

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}} =$$

which overlaps with the instant claims. Copending

;
$$\begin{array}{c} R^2 \\ R^3 \\ R^6 \end{array} (CH_1)_{n} - \begin{array}{c} X \\ X \\ N \end{array} (CH_2)_{n} \\ \end{array}$$
 (6)

20080249099 has which overlaps

with the instant claims. Copending 20070072842 has

$$\sum_{\mathbf{R}^{\prime}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}^{\prime}} (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{H}_{2})_{\mathbf{k}} \cdots \sum_{\mathbf{X}^{\prime}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}^{\prime}} \mathbf{G}$$

which overlaps with the instant claims.

The instant claims are rejected as being anticipated by the above cited applications because the instant claims are not fully disclosed by the claimed priority to PCT/EP05/50271 nor are the instant claims fully disclosed by the claimed provisional application 60/538907. Due to this, the effective filing date of the instant application is 6/7/2006.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Margaret Seaman whose telephone number is 571-272-0694. The examiner can normally be reached on 730am-4pm, Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D. Margaret Seaman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

/D. Margaret Seaman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625